

UNMIXING MULTITEMPORAL HYPERSPECTRAL IMAGES WITH VARIABILITY: AN ONLINE ALGORITHM







P.-A. Thouvenin, N. Dobigeon, J.-Y. Tourneret University of Toulouse, IRIT/INP-ENSEEIHT, France {pierreantoine.thouvenin, Nicolas.Dobigeon, Jean-Yves.Tourneret}@enseeiht.fr

1. Introduction

Hyperspectral imagery

- high spectral resolution, low spatial resolution \Rightarrow hyperspectral unmixing
- hyperspectral unmixing
- \triangleright identifying the reference spectral signatures in the data (endmembers)
- \triangleright estimating the endmember relative fraction in each pixel (abundances).





3. Problem formulation

• Two-stage stochastic problem, associated with the empirical risk minimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{M}\in\mathcal{M}}\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}h(\mathbf{Y}_{t},\mathbf{M}) + \beta\Psi(\mathbf{M})$$
(5)
$$h(\mathbf{Y}_{t},\mathbf{M}) = \min_{\substack{(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M})\in\mathcal{A}_{K}\times\mathcal{D}_{t}}}f(\mathbf{Y}_{t},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{A},\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M})$$
(6)

- $\triangleright f$: regularized instantaneous discrepancy measure $\triangleright h$: cost of the *t*th optimal decision to update the endmember matrix \mathbf{M} given the data available at time t
- $\triangleright \Psi$: endmember regularization. $\triangleright \mathcal{M} = {\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \succeq \mathbf{0}_{L,K}}$ $\triangleright \mathcal{A}_K = \{ \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} \succeq \mathbf{0}_{K,N}, \ \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}_K = \mathbf{1}_N \}$ $\triangleright \mathcal{D}_t = \{ \mathbf{dM} : \|\mathbf{dM}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \le \sigma \} \cap \{ \mathbf{dM} : \|\mathbf{dM} + \mathbf{E}_{t-1}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \le t\kappa \}$ $\triangleright \mathbf{E}_t = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{d} \mathbf{M}_i.$ • White Gaussian noise assumption

5. Experiment with synthetic data

- Method evaluated on 15 linear mixtures of size 31×30 , composed of 413 bands
- No pure pixel, mixtures corrupted by an additive white Gaussian noise to ensure SNR = 30 dB
- Abundance and endmembers initialized with VCA/FCLS
- Simulation scenario: Algo. 1 run for 50 cycles through the whole dataset, PALM and proximal gradient descent stopped after 50 iterations, $\xi = 0.99$, $\alpha = 3.9 \times 10^{-2}$, $\beta = 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$, $\gamma =$ $3.2 \times 10^{-4}, \, \sigma^2 = 12.4, \, \kappa^2 = 1.9.$



Unmixing multi-temporal hyperspectral images

- T hyperspectral images acquired over the same area
- varying acquisition conditions + inherent variability of the imaged scene (natural evolution) \Rightarrow variability
- increasing number of available images (several large images, significant number of images)

 \triangleright online estimation (sequential analysis)



Figure 1: Spectral variability (P. Gader, A. Zare, R. Close, J. Aitken, G. Tuell, MUUFL Gulfport Hyperspectral and LiDAR Airborne Data Set, University of Florida, Gainesville,

$$f(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{dM}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y}_t - (\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{dM})\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 + \alpha \Phi_t(\mathbf{A}) + \gamma \Upsilon_t(\mathbf{dM})$$
(7)

 $\triangleright \Phi_t, \Upsilon_t$: appropriate regularizations \triangleright trade-off between the data fitting term and the penalties $\Phi_t(\mathbf{A})$, $\Psi(\mathbf{M})$ and $\Upsilon_t(\mathbf{dM})$ controlled by (α, β, γ) .

Abundance and variability regularization Moderate/smooth changes assumed from one image to another

$$\Phi_t(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$
(8)

$$\Upsilon_t(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$
(9)

Endmember regularization

Constrains the volume of the simplex whose vertices are the endmember signatures

$$\Psi(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq i}}^{K} \|\mathbf{m}_{i} - \mathbf{m}_{j}\|_{2}^{2} \right).$$
(10)

Figure 3: Abundance maps of \mathbf{m}_{1t} [0: black, 1: white] (rows: true maps, proposed method, VCA/FCLS, SISAL/FCLS).



Figure 4: Abundance maps of \mathbf{m}_{2t} [0: black, 1: white] (rows: true maps, proposed method, VCA/FCLS, SISAL/FCLS).



Figure 5: Abundance maps of \mathbf{m}_{3t} [0: black, 1: white] (rows: true maps, proposed method, VCA/FCLS, SISAL/FCLS).



FL, Tech. Rep. REP-2013-570, Oct. 2013.)

2. Model

Assumptions

 \triangleright the T images of the sequence share K endmembers (K known) ▷ the pixels of each image are similarly affected by spectral variability (first approximation).

Perturbed linear mixing model (PLMM)

- pixel spectrum = linear combination of corrupted endmembers
- corrupted endmembers = endmembers affected by an additive time-varying perturbation vector

$$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_{knt} \left(\mathbf{m}_k + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m}_{kt} \right) + \mathbf{b}_{nt}$$
(1)

Matrix formulation

 $\mathbf{Y}_t = (\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}_t)\mathbf{A}_t + \mathbf{B}_t$

number of pixels number of spectral bands number of endmembers $\mathbf{Y}_t = [\mathbf{y}_{1t}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{Nt}] \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ tth hyperspectral image



Figure 2: Example of endmembers (in red) and variability (in blue) obtained when removing the constraint on the averaged variability.

4. An online algorithm

Structure of the online algorithm

• whenever an image \mathbf{Y}_t is received, local abundance and variability estimation by a proximal alternating linearized minimization (PALM) algorithm

- \triangleright PALM guaranteed to converge to a critical point of the nonconvex problem (6)
- endmembers updated by proximal gradient descent steps
- possibility to add a forgetting factor $\xi \in [0, 1]$
- provided problem (6) exclusively admits locally unique critical points, Algo. 1 converges to a critical point of Problem (5) as $T \to +\infty.$

Figure 6: Corresponding endmembers [rows: true endmembers (in red) and variability (in blue), proposed method, VCA, SISAL].

Table 1: Simulation results obtained with synthetic data $(\text{GMSE}(\mathbf{A}) \times 10^{-2}, \text{GMSE}(\mathbf{dM}) \times 10^{-4}, \text{RE} \times 10^{-5}).$

VCA/FCLS SISAL/FCLS Prop. method

$\mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times K}$	endmember matrix
$\mathbf{A}_t = [\mathbf{a}_{1t}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{Nt}] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$	tth abundance matrix
$\mathbf{dM}_t = [\mathbf{dm}_{1t}, \dots, \mathbf{dm}_{Kt}] \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times K}$	tth variability matrix

Constraints

- abundance and endmembers (physical considerations)
 - $\mathbf{M} \succeq \mathbf{0}_{L,K}, \ \mathbf{A}_t \succeq \mathbf{0}_{K,N}, \ \mathbf{A}_t^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}_K = \mathbf{1}_N, \ \forall t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$ (3)
- variability (modeling): small average temporal variability + upper bound for the instantaneous variability energy

$$\left\| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{M}_{t} \right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \leq \kappa, \quad \|\mathbf{d} \mathbf{M}_{t}\|_{\mathrm{F}} \leq \sigma, \quad \forall t \in \{1, \dots, T\} \quad (4)$$

- Algorithm 1: Online unmixing algorithm. **Data**: $\mathbf{M}_0, \mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{dM}_0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \xi \in]0, 1]$ begin $\mathbf{C}_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{K,K};$ $\mathbf{D}_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{L,K};$ $\mathbf{E}_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}_{L,K};$ for t = 1 to T do Random selection of an image \mathbf{Y}_t ; // Abundance and variability estimation by PALM $(\mathbf{A}_t, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}_t) \in \underset{(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}) \in \mathcal{A}_K \times \mathcal{D}_t}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} f(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{M}_t, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{M});$ $\mathbf{C}_t \leftarrow \xi \mathbf{C}_{t-1} + \mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{A}_t^{\mathrm{T}};$ $\mathbf{D}_t \leftarrow \xi \mathbf{D}_{t-1} + (\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{A}_t - \mathbf{Y}_t) \mathbf{A}_t^{\mathrm{T}};$ $\mathbf{E}_t \leftarrow \xi \mathbf{E}_{t-1} + \mathbf{d} \mathbf{M}_t$; // Endmember update
- $\mathbf{M}_{t} \leftarrow \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{C}_{t}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{D}_{t}) \right] + \beta \Psi(\mathbf{M})$

Result: \mathbf{M}_T , $(\mathbf{A}_t)_{t=1,\dots,T}$, $(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{M}_t)_{t=1,\dots,T}$

$aSAM(\mathbf{M})$ (°)	8.9792	8.6685	1.9898
$\mathrm{GMSE}(\mathbf{A})$	6.67	3.90	0.47
$\mathrm{GMSE}(\mathbf{dM})$	/	/	3.07
RE	9.59	9.49	9.63
time (s)	2	2.2	561

6. Conclusion and future work

- ► Proposition of an online hyperspectral unmixing algorithm accounting for endmember temporal variability
- ▷ Consider abrupt endmember changes (common in real data)
- ▷ Incorporate spatial variability
- \triangleright Find automatic rules to adjust the regularization parameters

This work is supported by the Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA), French Ministry of Defence, and by the Hypanema ANR Project n°ANR-12-BS03-003.

 \mathbf{b}

С

(2)